

WMPLC – Waste Management Public Liaison Committee

Meeting Minutes – Wednesday, July 29, 2015 – 7:30am

254 Westbrook Road, Ottawa

<p>1. Attendance, welcome, and opening remarks</p>	<p><u>Present</u>: Councillors: Eli El-Chantiry (Chair), Marianne Wilkinson, Shad Qadri Citizen reps: Lauren Ashe, Bob Hillary, Janet Mason, Jana Makusova Waste Management (WM): Ross Wallace (District Mgr), Wayne French (Director WCEC)</p> <p>Tim Murphy of WM participated via conference call.</p> <p><u>Regrets</u>: Greg LeBlanc, Councillor Allan Hubley, Wendy Storey (has accepted a full-time job elsewhere), Councillor Scott Moffatt</p> <p>Meeting started at 7:37am.</p> <p>Conflict of interest: N/A</p>	<p>ACTION ITEMS</p>
<p>2. Approval of April 27, 2015 meeting minutes</p>	<p>Ross suggested that the wording in the first sentence under 1) Timely Approval of Minutes, “The community ...” be changed to “A community member...” because it is misleading. Moved – Councillor Wilkinson, Second – Bob. All approved.</p> <p>Answer to Wendy’s question from April 27 – “Does an electronic version of the Executive Summary get attached to the minutes or is it for specific eyes only?” – it will not be attached.</p>	
<p>3. Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) application update</p>	<p>Tim provided update on ECA application:</p> <p>WM still has not received comments on surface water and hydro geology.</p> <p>Ministry of Environment (MoE) has gone through a number of staff changes in this ECA application approval process. The staff member who was working on this application has been promoted but is still on the project.</p> <p>Councillor El-Chantiry inquired about the timeline for the approval of ECA application Tim responded that it will take MoE 9-12 months since the initial submission to review the ECA application. As soon as he receives a timeline status</p>	

	<p>from MoE he will share with Wayne and the community, if the latter is interested.</p>	
<p>4. Site Plan Control (SPC) application update</p>	<p>Tim provided update on SPC application:</p> <p>In June, the application was received by the City’s Planning department; it was then circulated to other internal departments for review and comments. The City had a month to circulate the application. On June 26th, WM received comments from City engineers. On June 30th, WM received comments from other City staff. Since then, WM have been drafting responses to those comments, but it is a slow process due to the fact that many staff members are on vacation.</p> <p>There are 3 stages in the “Comments” process:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Administrative comments – spelling and grammar corrections, sequencing, etc. 2. Additional information that the City needs – updates are made through conference calls, etc. to get a more wholesome understanding 3. Modifications, concerns or issues with the application: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Environmental impact statement – impact on the wetland • Landscape plan – minor comments regarding south of Richardson Side Rd & west of Carp Rd • Information as to wetland treatment will be shared with city staff and will make relevant changes if needed • WM will make modifications, etc. and resubmit to the City by July 31st; holding provision will also be submitted • WM will meet with Sean Moore (City Planner) and his manager on Aug 5th • WM will then take site plan to Agricultural & Rural Affairs Committee on September 3rd • The site plan will then go to Council on September 9th <p>Councillor Qadri inquired how WM will respond to City’s forestation concern and the type of planting. Tim responded that there are 2 categories of planting: first is the type and second is the size/caliber of the planting. The City was actually</p>	

	<p>looking for the caliber of the trees rather than height. As for tree mix, comments from the City and community mostly supported the idea of native trees and plantings that would grow faster.</p> <p>Councillor Qadri inquired if planting on site would occur prior to construction keeping in mind of the visual impact of the site. Tim responded that he will also discuss this idea with City staff today.</p> <p>Ross informed Councillor Wilkinson that the landfill site does not drain into Feedmill Creek.</p> <p>Councillor Wilkinson inquired about the status of the exit lane out of the landfill heading south bound. Tim responded that the road modification agreement with the City is runs parallel with the site plan review process. There is no specific timeline for when the lane is going to be built. Truck traffic during construction will be accommodated.</p> <p>As for the tree species, comparing and converting height and caliber, Janet commented that the original intent was to provide screening right from day 1 and that draft and comments should be shared with everyone before Council. Janet also emphasized that robust species will be limited and try to avoid monocultures, and WM should plant as many native species as possible. Tim informed the committee that they will harvest forest soil so the site will be maintained and will bring in native plants.</p> <p>Councillor Wilkinson emphasized that the Ward Councillor have to sign off on the site plan and that the site plan needs to be ready for September 3rd.</p>	
<p>5.WM/City Host Agreement</p>	<p>Councillor El-Chantiry updated everyone that the agreement is still being drafted by lawyers – Christine Ante and Tim Marc – on PLC’s side (they are returning from vacation at the end of this week)</p> <p>There are a lot of comments from the City and community so the Councillors will meet with City staff when they’ve received the draft.</p> <p>Site plan and Host agreement are separate.</p> <p>Bob inquired about waste diversions (how much</p>	

	<p>WM was going to divert from landfill) since he missed the City public meeting held last month. Tim responded that some diversions occur before the wastes are transported to the landfill. There is no set percentage of how much is being diverted. They are looking at ways to divert more. Lastly, the existing transfer station will become a diversion station.</p> <p>On the subject of building a recreational complex – the time frame is unknown. Tim mentioned that MoE is concerned with the integrity of several sites thus no plans for which site is suitable for a recreational complex; what they can do on those sites is limited.</p> <p>General consensus that PLC should take a proactive stance. Ross mentioned that this may lead to accusation of not adhering to the original plan thus it is better to wait for approval from MoE. Councillor Wilkinson suggested that PLC should include Host agreement so the City does not ignore that proposal in 10 years or 20 years time. Councillor El-Chantiry reminded everyone that August 25th is the deadline for agreements.</p>	
6.Search for Committee Secretary	<p>On-going</p> <p>Councillor El-Chantiry mentioned that it is up to WM to find someone who can commit to WMPLC for at least the next several months.</p>	WAYNE to keep looking for secretary.
7.Open Mike	<p>Jana has prepared a page about ground ozone and will send the electronic copy to Councillor El-Chantiry and Wayne.</p> <p>Janet suggested that all input should be compiled and put on the agenda.</p>	JANA to send electronic copy of page about ground ozone to Councillor El-Chantiry and Wayne.
8.Meeting adjournment & details of next meeting	<p>Please include on the next meeting agenda: Janet to present further details for discussion of CLC subset comments and questions tabled at the April 27 PLC meeting.</p> <p>Next meeting TENTATIVE – during the third week of August.</p> <p>Councillor Wilkinson suggested that when the draft is received, Councillors should look at it before bringing it to PLC meeting.</p>	

	Councillor Wilkinson suggested that Sean Moore should attend the next meeting if possible.	
--	--	--